# New Zealand Government Policy, Public Finance and Governance Risks

## FIXNZ DATA & TRUTH

English Edition


| | |
|---|---|
| **Published** | June 2026 |
| **Version** | 1.0 |
| **Publisher** | FIXNZ |
| **Website** | www.fixnz.org |


## DISCLAIMER

# Executive Summary

Between 2024 and 2026, New Zealand entered a period of fiscal consolidation, regulatory restructuring and constitutional conflict. The coalition government sought to reduce administrative spending, restore infrastructure investment, narrow regulation and change the direction of transport, health, welfare and Treaty policy.

The central challenge is that the largest public costs are structurally difficult to reduce. Pensions, health, education, justice and infrastructure continue to rise even while governments promise tax relief and smaller administration. This creates a persistent gap between political commitments and fiscal capacity.

**Core finding: cutting administrative spending can improve discipline, but it cannot by itself solve ageing costs, infrastructure deficits, weak delivery capability or poor accountability.**

# 1. Fiscal Position: Deficit, Rigidity and Reconstruction

The 2024/25 year confirmed that New Zealand remained in a difficult fiscal position. The final Crown accounts reported an OBEGALx deficit of approximately NZ$9.3 billion and net core Crown debt of 41.8 percent of GDP. Total revenue and total expenses both increased, but expenditure growth remained difficult to contain.

- Economic weakness reduced the expected growth in tax revenue.
- Higher unemployment and hardship increased automatic welfare costs.
- Population ageing continued to raise pension and healthcare expenditure.
- Infrastructure maintenance and deferred public-sector liabilities required large one-off or catch-up spending.
- The return to a durable operating surplus was pushed further into the future.

# 2. Major Expenditure Areas

| Area | Indicative 2024/25 scale | Main pressure | Governance question |
|---|---|---|---|
| Social development | About NZ$57-60b | Pensions, unemployment and disability support | Can universal commitments remain sustainable as the population ages? |
| Health | About NZ$30b | Workforce shortages, inflation, waiting lists and payroll liabilities | Is additional funding producing more treatment or covering past failure? |
| Education | About NZ$18.5-19b | School property, early childhood education and attendance | Are savings changing delivery or merely shifting cost? |
| Law and order | About NZ$6.5-7b | Police recruitment, prison capacity and tougher sentencing | Will enforcement spending reduce offending or mainly increase incarceration? |
| Transport | About NZ$6-7b plus NLTF financing | Road maintenance, new highways and financing gaps | Who pays: taxpayers, road users, ratepayers or future borrowers? |

## 2.1 Social Development and New Zealand Superannuation

New Zealand Superannuation is the largest single welfare commitment. Source estimates placed 2024/25 expenditure at about NZ$23.2 billion, rising toward NZ$29 billion by 2028/29. Because the pension is universal and linked to wage movements, the cost rises automatically as the retirement population grows.

- Working-age benefits increase during recessions and fall only gradually during recovery.

- Tighter eligibility can reduce some spending but cannot reverse demographic ageing.
- The fiscal debate must therefore include pension design, labour participation, migration, tax capacity and health costs together.

## 2.2 Health: New Services Versus Historical Liabilities

Health spending rose, but a significant share was absorbed by inflation, workforce pressure, accumulated deficits and remediation of past payroll errors. The Holidays Act liability required a very large provision without creating additional beds, operations or clinical appointments.

- Hospital and specialist services accounted for the largest operating share.
- Primary and community services remained essential to reducing hospital demand.
- Pharmac funding increased, including support for additional medicines.
- A recurring operating deficit raised questions about whether national restructuring had delivered the expected efficiencies.

## 2.3 Education, Policing and Transport

- Education spending shifted toward school property, curriculum performance and revised delivery of the school-lunch programme.
- Police funding supported the commitment to additional frontline officers and stronger gang enforcement.
- Corrections funding anticipated higher prison numbers and additional capacity.
- Transport policy shifted away from cancelled urban-rail proposals toward road maintenance and Roads of National Significance.
- Tolling and public-private partnerships became more prominent as traditional fuel-tax funding weakened.

# 3. Public-Service Savings and Delivery Risk

The government required substantial baseline savings from many agencies and reduced thousands of public-service roles. The policy was justified as a transfer from back-office administration to frontline delivery.

- Potential benefit: fewer layers of management, consultancy and duplicated reporting.
- Potential benefit: clearer expenditure discipline and stronger ministerial prioritisation.
- Risk: loss of experienced analysts, procurement specialists and programme managers.
- Risk: frontline workers inherit administrative work previously performed by support staff.
- Risk: rapid reductions weaken institutional memory and increase the cost of future policy failure.

The correct test is not the number of positions removed. It is whether waiting times, attendance, public safety, project delivery and fiscal control improve after the reductions.

**Efficiency is an outcome, not a headcount target.**

# 4. Ministry for Regulation: A New Zealand DOGE?

The Ministry for Regulation was formally established on 1 March 2024 to improve regulatory quality and review systems considered unnecessarily costly or ineffective. It is a permanent government agency rather than an informal advisory initiative.

| Feature | Ministry for Regulation | DOGE-style comparison |
|---|---|---|
| Legal status | Formal public-service department | Often described as advisory or temporary |
| Primary method | Regulatory reviews, impact analysis and system leadership | Budget cuts, agency consolidation and workforce reduction |
| Public channel | Red Tape tipline and sector submissions | Crowdsourced complaints and public campaigns |
| Stated objective | Better regulatory outcomes and lower unnecessary compliance cost | Smaller government and reduced public expenditure |

## 4.1 Work Programme and Claimed Benefits

- The Ministry received hundreds of public submissions through its Red Tape tipline.
- It completed sector reviews and changed regulatory-impact requirements.
- Reviews covered early childhood education, agricultural and horticultural products and other regulatory systems.
- The Ministry reported that a number of submissions had already led to rule changes or improved official guidance.

Claims of high benefit-to-cost ratios should be treated as estimates until the underlying savings are measured after implementation. Removing a rule can produce benefits, but poorly designed deregulation can also shift cost to consumers, workers, councils or the environment.

# 5. Bribery and Corruption Law

New Zealand criminal law addresses bribery in both public and private settings. The Crimes Act 1961 covers corruption of officials and foreign public officials, while the Secret Commissions Act 1910 addresses undisclosed payments and benefits involving agents in commercial relationships.

- Giving or receiving a corrupt payment to influence an official act is an offence.
- New Zealand persons and companies can be liable for bribing foreign public officials overseas.
- Secret commissions arise where an agent receives an undisclosed benefit without the principal's informed consent.
- Serious cases can result in imprisonment, confiscation, reparation and unlimited fines where legislation permits.

The Serious Fraud Office investigates and prosecutes serious or complex fraud, bribery and corruption. Its recent work demonstrates that procurement and contract management remain high-risk areas in both public and private organisations.

## 5.1 Recent Enforcement Lessons

- A 2025 private-sector case involving more than NZ$4 million in kickbacks resulted in prison sentences for two IT contractors.
- Public-sector procurement cases have involved project managers, contractors and intermediaries accused or convicted of exchanging benefits for contracts.
- A former council building inspector was sentenced in a bribery and corruption case.
- SFO guidance identifies procurement as particularly vulnerable because of the scale of public spending and discretion involved.

Individuals are not named here. The purpose is to identify control failures: undisclosed relationships, weak separation of duties, manipulated tendering, false invoices and inadequate whistleblower protection.

# 6. Infrastructure Finance: Watercare

Auckland's water system requires very large long-term investment. Watercare has faced pressure from ageing pipes, growth, wastewater overflows and major projects such as the Central Interceptor.

- Historically, Watercare debt was constrained by Auckland Council's consolidated borrowing limits.
- Balance-sheet separation increased Watercare's independent borrowing capacity.
- The reform improves access to finance but concentrates repayment and performance risk within the water entity and its customers.
- Leakage and network loss show that capital investment must be matched by operating discipline and maintenance.

Financial separation does not eliminate debt. It changes where the debt is recorded, who services it and which users bear future price increases.

# 7. Infrastructure Finance: NZTA and the NLTF

The National Land Transport Fund has faced a structural funding problem as fuel efficiency, electric vehicles, inflation and rising construction costs weaken the traditional fuel-tax model.

- Deferred maintenance produced a large backlog of road resurfacing and pothole repair.
- The government announced a multi-year pothole-prevention programme and large increases in maintenance allocations.
- Councils reported that higher nominal funding did not always offset the rise in asphalt, labour and contract costs.
- New highways increasingly rely on tolling, Crown capital, debt and public-private partnerships.

This represents a gradual shift from transport as a broadly tax-funded public service toward a mixed user-pays model. The distributional effect should be disclosed: rural users, low-income commuters and regions without alternatives may bear disproportionate cost.

# 8. Controversial Policy Areas

## 8.1 Treaty Principles Bill

The Treaty Principles Bill proposed a statutory reinterpretation of Treaty principles around equal rights and government authority. Supporters presented it as a defence of equal citizenship; opponents argued that it would narrow or override the Treaty relationship and Māori rights developed through law and settlement practice.

- The Bill generated large national protests and intense parliamentary conflict.
- The Waitangi Tribunal issued strong criticism of the proposal.
- The Bill was ultimately defeated at second reading in April 2025.

The episode remains relevant because it demonstrated how coalition agreements can advance constitutional proposals even without majority support for final enactment.

## 8.2 Fast-track Approvals Act

The Fast-track Approvals Act 2024 created an accelerated pathway for infrastructure and development approvals. It was designed to reduce delay, but critics warned that speed, ministerial influence and restricted appeal rights could weaken environmental and democratic safeguards.

- The enacted framework uses expert panels and contains provisions relating to Treaty settlements and recognised customary rights.
- The listed-project process increased the importance of conflict management and transparent referral decisions.
- The Act was amended in 2025, showing that the system remained under active development.

## 8.3 Tobacco and Heated-Tobacco Policy

The repeal of the previous smoke-free generation measures and changes affecting heated-tobacco products created controversy over public health, transparency and industry influence. Official-information handling and the provenance of policy material became part of the dispute.

This report does not allege misconduct by a named minister. The governance issue is whether policy advice, industry contact, fiscal cost and public-health evidence were disclosed and tested through normal processes.

# 9. Political Conduct and Governance Standards

The source report compared controversies under successive governments. To reduce legal and privacy risk while preserving public value, this edition identifies office, party and initials rather than reproducing personal financial or reputational claims as unqualified fact.

| Period | Anonymised case | Documented issue | Governance lesson |
|---|---|---|---|
| Previous Labour government | Former Justice Minister K.A. | Resigned after a vehicle incident and criminal charges that were publicly resolved | Ministerial stress and personal conduct require early intervention and clear accountability |
| Previous Labour government | Former Transport Minister M.W. | Failed to resolve and disclose a shareholding conflict while responsible for related policy | Disclosure without timely divestment or recusal is inadequate |
| Previous Labour government | Former Police Minister S.N. | Removed after disclosure of Cabinet information to donors | Confidentiality and donor access require strict boundaries |
| Current National-led coalition | Former minister A.B. | Public complaints concerning conduct toward workers and subsequent resignation from a ministerial role | Ministerial behaviour toward ordinary citizens is a public-trust issue |
| Current National-led coalition | National Party Prime Minister C.L. | Public criticism concerning property sales, tax settings and perceived personal benefit | Lawful conduct can still create a perception problem where policy and private assets overlap |
| Current coalition | NZ First Minister S.J. | Questions over management of industry relationships in fast-track decisions | Recusal processes must be documented sufficiently for independent scrutiny |

None of these entries should be read as an allegation of corruption unless a court or competent authority has made such a finding. They illustrate different categories of governance risk: personal conduct, disclosure failure, confidentiality breach, perceived conflict and inadequate transparency.

# 10. Governance Risk Framework

| Risk | Required safeguard | Evidence of success |
|---|---|---|
| Fiscal promises exceed capacity | Independent costings, long-term forecasts and transparent trade-offs | Smaller forecast revisions and credible return to surplus |
| Headcount cuts weaken delivery | Service-level and capability reporting before and after reductions | Better outcomes without hidden contractor substitution |
| Deregulation transfers cost | Regulatory impact analysis and post-implementation review | Verified net benefit, not estimated benefit alone |
| Procurement corruption | Beneficial-ownership checks, conflict registers, separation of duties and whistleblower protection | Earlier detection and lower loss |
| Infrastructure debt is hidden or shifted | Consolidated public-sector risk disclosure and affordability tests | Sustainable charges and reliable asset condition |
| Ministerial conflict or perceived conflict | Specific recusal plans, published reasons and independent review | Decisions withstand scrutiny without reliance on personal assurances |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Constitutional change deepens division | Broad consultation, precise drafting and supermajority or referendum safeguards for foundational change | Durable legitimacy across changes of government |

**FIXNZ principle: public trust requires not only legal compliance, but decision processes that an independent observer can understand and verify.**

## Conclusion

New Zealand's fiscal and governance difficulties cannot be solved through one ideological programme. Smaller administration, better regulation and stronger infrastructure finance can all contribute, but each reform creates new risks if accountability is weak.

The durable objective should be a government that spends transparently, measures outcomes, maintains capability, manages conflicts, prosecutes corruption and explains who ultimately pays for public commitments. Fiscal discipline and social legitimacy must be pursued together.

## References and Source Notes


1. The Treasury, Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand for the year ended 30 June 2025.
2. The Treasury, Budget Economic and Fiscal Updates, Estimates and Supplementary Estimates for social development, health, education, police, corrections and transport.
3. Ministry for Regulation, Strategic Intentions 2024/25-2028/29, annual reporting and Red Tape tipline summaries.
4. Crimes Act 1961 and Secret Commissions Act 1910, New Zealand Legislation.
5. Serious Fraud Office case material and procurement fraud and corruption guidance.
6. Commerce Commission and Auckland Council reporting concerning Watercare performance and finance.
7. NZ Transport Agency and Ministry of Transport material concerning the National Land Transport Fund, road maintenance, tolling and public-private partnerships.
8. Fast-track Approvals Act 2024 and Fast-track Approvals Amendment Act 2025.
9. Parliamentary and official material concerning the Treaty Principles Bill and its defeat at second reading in April 2025.
10. Official Information Act, Ombudsman and public-health material concerning tobacco and heated-tobacco policy.
11. Public parliamentary, Cabinet and departmental records concerning ministerial resignations, disclosures and conflict-management processes.
12. The Chinese-language source edition from which this English publication was translated and editorially reconstructed.


## Editorial Note

This English edition preserves the source report's fiscal detail, regulatory analysis, legal framework, infrastructure discussion and governance cases. Time-sensitive figures and legal status were checked against official public sources available in June 2026. Personal names were replaced with office, party and initials where full identification was not necessary. Allegations, criticism, documented conduct and judicial findings are distinguished rather than merged. Potentially defamatory or privacy-sensitive claims were qualified or anonymised, not used as a reason to remove substantive policy analysis.